

Simon Lane

**Deadline 8 – Final Submission –
East Park Energy Proposals for Solar and BESS Plant**

I understand that this is the last opportunity to submit comments prior to the close of the examination.

Accordingly, may I draw the inspector's attention to the fact that my Deadline 6 submission has not been responded to and likewise my responses and further queries to the applicants' responses to my Deadline 4 and 5 submissions.

Overall, my points and concerns have remained very similar throughout the hearing and in my opinion the Applicant has failed to satisfactorily justify the proposed development.

Accordingly, and not surprisingly because it is patently the wrong site, their job is something of an impossibility other for them to ask a limitless list of experts to do their best to pretend otherwise.

I very much put my trust in the Inspector to have seen through this mountain of claim and counter claims and to recommend to the Secretary of State that the application be refused for the obvious and robust arguments made by the local population and stakeholders, both during the Applicants Consultation period and throughout the examination period.

I am aware that only having a single inspector on such a large and important Application is unusual and given its complexities, an unfair burden, particularly when such applications are breaking new ground and steeped in technical argument.

However, if one stands back from all the 'white noise' and takes a deep breath the matter comes into simple and sharp focus.

This is the wrong scheme on the wrong site and to argue otherwise would not be a sustainable, subjective, truly impartial conclusion to reach.